Towards an Automated Approach to Extract and Compare Fictional Networks: An Evaluation

Marcello Trovati and James Brady

School of Computing and Mathematics University of Derby

Outline

- During this presentation I will discuss
 - What it is we set out to do
 - Our approach
 - Some examples of algorithms and look up tables which we used/created
 - Our evaluation/conclusions
 - Further research/development

Introduction

This paper is concerned with extraction of text from a fictional work leading to categorisation and understanding of relations between characters and the types of relations such as friendly and/or hostile ones.

Our Approach

• Generally

Collocation and Character Identification

Relation Extraction

• Identification of Relation Types

A selection of friendly and hostile verbs from a constructed dictionary

| Hostile Verbs | Friendly Verbs |
|---------------|----------------|
| kill | accommodate |
| hate | admire |
| injure | aid |
| harm | approve |
| attack | cherish |
| annihilate | cooperate |
| asphyxiate | collaborate |
| assassinate | cuddle |
| crucify | esteem |
| drown | fondle |
| eradicate | glorify |
| erase | idolise |
| execute | kiss |
| exterminate | love |

Text Patterns for Term Extraction

| Tag Pattern | |
|----------------|--|
| Adj Noun | |
| Noun Noun | |
| Adj Adj Noun | |
| Adj Noun Noun | |
| Noun Adj Noun | |
| Noun Noun Noun | |
| Noun Prep Noun | |

Relation Extraction Algorithm



Identification of the relation types

• Friendly

• Hostile

• Other/Unknown

Type Aggregation Algorithm

Remove any relation that has not yet been recognised as either friendly or hostile (i.e. unknown) and group the remaining ones into a set, L

Let $l_1, ..., l_j$ be its (disjoint) subgroups whose elements *are* the triples referring to the same two characters. Thus,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{j} l_{i} = L, and \sum_{i=1}^{j} |l_{i}| = |L|$$

where $\left|\cdot\right|$ refers to the cardinality of a set

for all l_i , $1 \le i \le j$ do

assign the most frequently occurring type to the relation between the two characters

end for

Evaluation

• 89% precision

• 68% recall

• 55% of correct extractions had correct type

• 9% reduction in average path length

Discussion

• Clustering properties

• General dynamical properties

• Identification of general topological features

Conclusion

- Initial steps of automated extraction
- Limited capabilities

- Future Research
 - Wider set of relations
 - Directed networks
 - Improvements to type aggregation
 - Similarity measure

Thank you for your attention and especially for still being here at the very end of the very last day!